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# 

# **RESEARCH DEGREE: Decision of the examiners on a candidate for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy by Published Works**

*The examiners are required to complete a joint report on this form on the outcome of the oral or alternative examination and the result of the examination as a whole. If an agreed report cannot be submitted, each examiner should make a separate report.*

*The completed form must be returned to Research, Innovation & Enterprise* ***immediately after the examination****.*

***Note:*** *This form is designed to be completed electronically and will expand as necessary. Do not regard the ‘white space’ provided as a constraint on the appropriate length of any comments made.*

## PART A: THE CANDIDATE

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **1. Surname/Family Name:** |  |
| **2. First Name(s):** |  |
| **3. Student ID Number:** |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **4. Hub:** | **BS** |  | **CDI** |  | **MTE** |  | **SHW** |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **5. Collaborating establishment(s):** |
|  | |

6. Title of thesis

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**PART B: THE EXAMINERS**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **7. Date of examination\*** |  |

\* Examiner to insert date

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **8. Names of those present at examination** | | |
|  | **i) External Examiner:** |  |
|  | **External Examiner:** |  |
|  | **ii) Internal Examiner:** |  |
|  | **iii) Supervisor(observer)\*:** |  |
|  | **iv) Independent Chair:** |  |

\* The candidate may request the presence of a supervisor as an observer

**PART C: REPORT ON THE ORAL/ALTERNATIVE EXAMINATION**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **The examiners are asked to report below on the outcome of the examination, giving a reasoned assessment of the candidate’s performance.**  **Please refer to assessment criteria as appropriate.** | |
| **9.** **Are you satisfied that the thesis is the candidate’s own work?** | |
|  |  |
| **10.** **Did the candidate show a satisfactory knowledge and understanding of:** | |
|  | i) Matters relating to the thesis? |
|  |  |
|  | ii) Background studies to the subject of the thesis? |
|  |  |
| **11. In the case of a candidate whose research was part of a collaborative group project, did the oral demonstrate that the candidate’s own contribution was worthy of an award?** | |
|  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **12.** **Any other comments:**   Please continue on another sheet if necessary. | |
|  |  |

**PART D: CONCLUSION**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **13. Please select the conclusion which applies:** | |  |
|  | i. \* The candidate has satisfied the examiners as a candidate for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. |  |
|  |
|  | ii. \* The candidate has **not** satisfied the examiners as a candidate for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (see 14.4 & 14.5 below) in the following respects:  Please continue on another sheet if necessary.  *\*Delete as appropriate* |  |
|  |
|  |  | |

**PART E: EXAMINATION PANEL OUTCOMES**

|  |
| --- |
| **14. The examiners are requested to delete the outcomes which do not apply:**   * 1. \* That the candidate be awarded the degree   2. \* That the candidate be awarded the degree subject to minor amendments being made to the commentary/critical appraisal document only within 3 months to the satisfaction of the internal examiner(s) **and/or** external examiner(s)\*   3. \* That the candidate be awarded the degree subject to major amendments to the commentary/critical appraisal document only within 6 months to the satisfaction of the internal examiner(s) **and/or** external examiner(s)   4. \* That the candidate be re-examined, subject to major amendments being made within 12 months to the commentary/critical appraisal only to the satisfaction of the examiners **with or without** an oral examination\*   14.5 \* That the candidate be not awarded the degree and be not permitted to be re-examined (if paragraph 11.ii. above does not explain why this recommendation is made, a short report signed and dated by the examiners must be appended to this form |

*\*Delete as appropriate*

**Where the recommendation is 14.2, 14.3 or 14.4** the examiners must together complete form **RD10G**, which should be returned to Research, Innovation & Enterprise immediately after examination for forward transmission to the candidate.

**PART F: EXAMINATION PANEL SIGNATURES**

**15.** The examination panel should sign below:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Signed by  1st External Examiner:** | |  | | |
| **Print Name:** |  | | **Date:** |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Signed by  2nd External Examiner:** | |  | | |
| **Print Name:** |  | | **Date:** |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Signed by  Internal Examiner:** | |  | | |
| **Print Name:** |  | | **Date:** |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Signed by  Independent Chair:** | |  | | |
| **Print Name:** |  | | **Date:** |  |

**Please return to Research, Innovation & Enterprise (via research.degree@solent.ac.uk) at Solent University.**