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#

# **RESEARCH DEGREE: Decision of the examiners on a candidate for the degree of Master of Philosophy/ Doctor of Philosophy (Re-examination)**

*The examiners are required to complete a joint report on this form on the outcome of the re-examination. If an agreed report cannot be submitted, each examiner should make a separate report.*

*The completed form must be returned to Research, Innovation & Enterprise* ***immediately after the examination****.*

***Note:*** *This form is designed to be completed electronically and will expand as necessary. Do not regard the ‘white space’ provided as a constraint on the appropriate length of any comments made.*

## PART A: THE CANDIDATE

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **1. Surname/Family Name:** |  |
| **2. First Name(s):** |  |
| **3. Student ID Number:** |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **4. Hub:** | **BS** |  | **CDI** |  | **MTE** |  | **SHW** |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **5. Collaborating establishment(s):** |
|  |

 6. Title of thesis

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**PART B: RECOMMENDATION FROM INITIAL EXAMINATION**

**7. This Section should be filled out by Research, Innovation & Enterprise**

**Outcome 14.4**

That the candidate be re-examined for the degree of MPhil/PhD**\***, subject to major amendments being made to the thesis within 12 months to the satisfaction of the internal examiner(s) **and/or** external examiner(s)**\*** without oral examination (Regulation 2R.125.d refers)

**Outcome 14.5** That the candidate be re-examined for the degree of MPhil/PhD**\***, subject to major amendments being made to the thesis within 12 months to the satisfaction of the examiners with oral examination (Regulation 2R.125.e refers):

***\* delete as appropriate***

**PART C: THE EXAMINERS (TO BE COMPLETED ONLY IN RESPECT OF OUTCOME 13.5)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **8. Date of examination\*** |  |

 \* Examiner to insert date

|  |
| --- |
| **9. Names of those present at examination**  |
|  | **i) External Examiner:** |  |
|  |  **External Examiner:** |  |
|  | **ii) Internal Examiner:** |  |
|  | **iii) Supervisor (observer) \*:** |  |
|  | **iv) Independent Chair:** |  |

\* The candidate may request the presence of a supervisor as an observer

**PART D: REPORT ON THE RE-EXAMINATION**

|  |
| --- |
| **The examiners are asked to report below on the outcome of the re-examination, giving a reasoned assessment of the candidate’s performance.****Please refer to assessment criteria as appropriate.** |
| **10.** **Are you satisfied that the thesis is the candidate’s own work?** |
|  |  |
| **11.** **Did the candidate show a satisfactory knowledge and understanding of:** |
|  | i) Matters relating to the thesis? |
|  |  |
|  | ii) Background studies to the subject of the thesis? |
|  |  |
| **12. In the case of a candidate whose research was part of a collaborative group project, was it demonstrated that the candidate’s own contribution was worthy of an award?** |
|  |  |
| **13.** **Any other comments:**  Please continue on another sheet if necessary. |
|  |  |

**PART E: CONCLUSION**

**14.** **Please select the conclusion which applies:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| i. The candidate has satisfied the examiners as a candidate for the degree of Master of Philosophy/Doctor of Philosophy\* (see 15.i, 15.ii below).  |  |
|  |
| ii. The candidate has **not** satisfied the examiners as a candidate for the degree of Master of Philosophy/ Doctor of Philosophy\* (see 15.iv below) in the following respects:  |  |
|  *\*Delete as appropriate* |  |
|  | iii. The candidate for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy has **not** satisfied the examiners but should be granted the degree of MPhil (see 15.iii below).  |  |
|  |
|  | *(outline of decision i, ii or iii )*  |

 Please continue on another sheet as necessary

**PART F: RE-EXAMINATION OUTCOMES**

**15.** **The examiner(s) are requested to delete the outcomes which do not apply:**

1. The candidate is awarded the degree (Regulation 2R.144.a refers):
2. The candidate is awarded the degree subject to minor amendments being made to the thesis within 2 months to the satisfaction of the internal examiner(s) **and/or** external examiner(s)\* (Regulation 2R.144.b refers):
3. In the case of a candidate being examined for PhD, that the candidate be granted the degree of MPhil subject to the presentation of the thesis within 6 months amended to the satisfaction of the internal examiner(s) **and/or** external examiner(s)\* (Regulation 2R.144.c refers):
4. The candidate is not awarded the degree and is not permitted to be re-examined (Regulation 2R.144.d refers):

 **Note: The candidate cannot be awarded the degree subject to *major amendment.***

*\*Delete as appropriate*

**Where the outcome is 15.ii or 15.iii**, the examiners must together complete form **RD10GR**, which should be returned to Research, Innovation & Enterprise (via research.degree@solent.ac.uk) immediately after examination for forward transmission to the candidate.

**PART G: EXAMINER SIGNATURES**

**16.** **The examiner(s) should sign below:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Signed by** **1st External Examiner:** |  |
| **Print Name:** |  | **Date:** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Signed by** **2nd External Examiner:** |  |
| **Print Name:** |  | **Date:** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Signed by** **Internal Examiner:** |  |
| **Print Name:** |  | **Date:** |  |

 *Viva Only:*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Signed by** **Independent Chair:** |  |
| **Print Name:** |  | **Date:** |  |

**Please return to Research, Innovation & Enterprise (via** **research.degree@solent.ac.uk****) at Solent University**